ORIGINAL PAPER

Spring tree phenology in the Alps: effects of air temperature, altitude and local topography

Maryline Pellerin · Anne Delestrade · Gwladys Mathieu · Olivier Rigault · Nigel G. Yoccoz

Received: 25 March 2011/Revised: 20 April 2012/Accepted: 26 June 2012/Published online: 28 July 2012 © Springer-Verlag 2012

Abstract A participatory network was set up to study tree phenology in the Western Alps. We used data collected in 2006 and 2007 on birch, ash, hazel, spruce and larch to assess how local air temperature, altitude and other topographic variables influenced dates of budburst and leaf unfolding. Altitude was, as expected, a main predictor variable of budburst and leafing dates with delays ranging from 2.4 to 3.4 days per 100 m. Ash was the only species with strong evidence of a year difference in the altitudinal gradient with the warm year (2007) characterized by a weaker altitudinal gradient. We found a latitudinal gradient in the appearance of budburst for one coniferous species (larch) and curvature affected leafing in ash. Thermal sum (sum of Degree-Days above 0 °C) was increasing with altitude for budburst (birch, ash and larch) and leafing (birch and ash). Understanding of altitude and topography effects in addition to temperature in phenological models should improve projections of future changes in mountain regions.

Keywords Budburst \cdot Leaf unfolding \cdot Participatory network \cdot Thermal sum \cdot Snow

Communicated by Rainer Matyssek.

M. Pellerin $(\boxtimes) \cdot A$. Delestrade $\cdot G$. Mathieu $\cdot O$. Rigault $\cdot N$. G. Yoccoz

Centre de Recherches sur les Ecosystèmes d'Altitude (CREA), Observatoire du Mont-Blanc74400, Chamonix, France e-mail: pellerin_maryline@yahoo.fr

A. Delestrade

Laboratoire d'Ecologie Alpine, Université de Savoie, UMR 5553, 73376 Le Bourget du Lac, France

N. G. Yoccoz

Department of Arctic and Marine Biology, University of Tromsø, 9037 Tromsö, Norway

Introduction

The timing of phenological events is changing. For plants, spring events such as budburst and flowering are occurring earlier (Parmesan 2006; Miller-Rushing and Primack 2008). These changes reflect in part changes in weather conditions, and a large number of studies have now documented the effect of increasing temperature on the timing or the duration of phenological events (e.g., Cannel and Smith 1986; Kramer 1995; Chmielewski and Rötzer 2001; Scheifinger et al. 2002; Menzel 2003; Menzel et al. 2003; Chmielewski et al. 2004; Chen et al. 2005; Cleland et al. 2007; Menzel et al. 2008; Nordli et al. 2008).

However, species usually differ in how they respond to variation in environmental conditions and these differences have been investigated, for example in terms of functional traits and environment-specific responses (Castro-Diez et al. 2003; Campanella and Bertiller 2008; Inouye 2008). In particular, in high-altitude regions, phenology is strongly influenced by snowmelt in addition to air temperature (Bliss 1971; Inouye 2008; Hülber et al. 2010; Wipf 2010). Snow cover will influence soil temperatures and may delay spring phenology even in the tree vegetation layer which is characterized by having a substantial biomass above the snow layer. However, the snow layer also has a protective property; in that, it protects vegetation from subzero temperatures associated with high-altitude diurnal variation in temperature during early spring. Earlier snowmelt may therefore increase risk of frost damage for early flowering species (Inouye 2008). As such, species might be expected to show a delayed phenology at high altitude compared to low altitude in order to prevent risk of frost damage. However, species have to complete their reproduction cycle within the relatively short vegetation period at high altitudes, constraining them to early budburst and/or flowering (Defila and Clot 2005; Wipf 2010). This constraint may result in some highaltitude species lacking a response to higher temperature or earlier snowmelt (e.g., *Ranunculus glacialis*, Totland and Alatalo 2002), which may make them sensitive to invasion by more plastic species.

In mountain regions, phenology and growth of tree species are strongly related to altitude-dependent weather conditions (e.g., for beech (Fagus sylvatica), see Dittmar and Elling 1999, 2006). However, few studies have focused on the effect of altitude on phenological events besides the obvious delay due to decreasing temperature with increasing altitude (Defila and Clot 2001, 2005; Dittmar and Elling 2006; Rötzer et al. 2004; Vitasse et al. 2009a, b; Ziello et al. 2009). Climate projections show that the Alps are expected to see a large increase in temperature in the next decades, particularly so in the summer (e.g., Beniston (2006) gave changes of summer temperatures by 2100 of +5.5-6 °C for the A2 scenario, with associated large changes in snow duration). Studies which have analysed phenological data from alpine areas (Theurillat and Schlüssel 2000; Defila and Clot 2001, 2005; Inouye et al. 2003; Keller et al. 2005; Huelber et al. 2006; Vitasse et al. 2009a, b; Ziello et al. 2009) have mostly ignored topographic variables beside altitude, such as exposure and slope which may also influence the appearance of phenological events besides the direct effect of temperature changes (e.g., Jackson 1966).

In this study, we analysed how air temperatures, altitude and other topographic variables affected species-specific phenological responses. We focused on two spring events, budburst and leaf unfolding which are known to be strongly determined by temperature, in order to exemplify the spatial variation in the relationship between temperature and phenological events. We focused first on comparing altitudinal gradients in spring event dates among species and years. We then tested if the impact of air temperature, measured using thermal sum was independent of altitude. We used data from a volunteer-based research project, "Phénoclim" which aims on the long-term at determining the effect of the climatic changes on plant phenology in the Alps. This programme takes place in the entire French Alps and relies upon the public participation for the monitoring of the phenological events.

Materials and methods

We used the initial 2-year period of the "Phénoclim" project, 2006 and 2007 based on phenological measurements made on tree/shrubs from 83 sites in the French Alps (Fig. 1). Thirtysix sites were equipped with meteorological stations, designed for the "Phénoclim" project. These stations measured air temperature at standard height (2 m) every 15 min with a DS18B20 Digital Thermometer (Dallas Semiconductor MAXIM, www.maxim-ic.com), with an operating range of -55 to +125 °C and an accuracy of ± 0.5 °C over the range of -10 to +85 °C. The stations are supplied with four batteries LR20 1.5 V. The temperatures recorded by these stations were highly correlated with standard temperatures obtained from national meteorological stations (e.g., at Chamonix: correlation coefficients = 0.97, 0.95 and 0.97, respectively, for minimum temperature, maximum temperature and mean temperature; data from Chamonix station obtained from Meteo France). We used the data from "Phénoclim" stations to calculate the daily mean temperatures as the national meteorological stations were too sparse in the study area.

For each phenological site, we used the following predictor variables in the statistical models: geographic coordinates (latitude and longitude in Lambert II extended projection), altitude, curvature (measured by the second derivative of altitude measured over neighbouring pixels (ArcGIS 2004); negative if concave and positive if convex), the slope (in degrees) and the exposure (measuring southness: N = 0; NE = NW = 0.75; E = W = 0.5, SW = SE = 0.75, S = 1) (Table 1). The altitude of the meteorological stations ranged from 245 to 1,921 m and that of the phenological sites was 240 to 2,136 m. Since all the phenological sites were not equipped with meteorological stations, we interpolated temperature on these sites from temperature data of sites with meteorological stations (see statistical modelling).

Phenology of budburst and leaf unfolding was studied in five shrub/tree species: two broad-leaved trees, birch (*Betula pendula*) and ash (*Fraxinus excelsior*); one shrub, hazel (*Corylus avellana*); and two coniferous trees, spruce (*Picea abies*) and larch (*Larix decidua*).

In the present study, budburst was defined as the first day the first leaf-stalk of the plant was visible. Leaf unfolding was defined as the first day a leaf was unfolded so the petiole could be seen. At each site, phenological events of three individuals per species were observed. Phenological observations were recorded weekly. The three individuals were chosen to be in the same topographic conditions and close to each other (between 5 and 500 m). Furthermore, as the age and height of a tree may influence its phenology, we used data from adult individuals with a minimum height of 7 m for trees and 3 m for shrubs. As species were not found at all sites, the design is unbalanced.

Many studies have shown that the timing of the spring phenological events is mainly induced by temperature (Defila 1991; Fitter et al. 1995; Sparks et al. 2000; Chmielewski and Rötzer 2002; Gormsen et al. 2005; Menzel et al. 2008). Most analyses so far have focused on temporal variations in timing of phenological events, but in this study, we focused on understanding the spatial variation, in particular, with respect to altitude and other topographic factors. If the effect of temperature was identical at



Fig. 1 Map of Western part of the Alps, showing the phenological sites (*triangle*) and the meteorological stations (*verticallinewithcircleabove*). Latitude and longitude in Lambert II extended projection

different altitudes, we would expect that a model including only altitude would result in good prediction of spatial variation in timing of phenological events. However, species may show different phenological responses to air temperatures at different altitudes (for example, because of an increasing impact of snowmelt and/or local adaptation to frost exposure). We therefore analysed spatial and temporal variation in thermal sum, defined as the sum of daily mean temperatures above a certain threshold temperature until the date of the phenological event (in Degree-Days (°d)). We chose 0 °C as base temperature because of the geographic location of the study area. Indeed, daily mean temperatures between 0 and 5 °C may contribute to budburst and leafing in mountain plants (Körner 1999; Hülber et al. 2010), and thus, in our case, 0 °C is a better threshold than the 5 °C value often used in the calculation of thermal sum (Myking 1997; Rötzer et al. 2004). Different starting dates, reflecting the phenology of the different species, were used to sum temperature values. The 1 March was used for birch, ash, spruce, larch (Hannerz 1999; Wielgolaski 1999; Rötzer et al. 2004), whereas 1 January was chosen for hazel (Wielgolaski 1999).

Statistical modelling

To interpolate temperatures, we applied additive generalized models (Wood 2006) to predict mean temperature with date and altitude as predictor variables. For each phenological site at a given altitude, we then added average values of residuals from the three nearest meteorological stations to the predicted values (to reconstruct the local daily variation). We thus obtained daily mean temperatures for all phenological sites.

To assess the effect of year, altitude, latitude, longitude, curvature, slope and exposure on the date and thermal sum of budburst and leafing, we fitted linear models (Gelman and Hill 2007) with date or thermal sum of budburst/leafing as the response variable, the year (2006 or 2007) as a twomodality fixed factor, and the exposure, altitude, latitude, longitude, curvature and slope as 6 quantitative covariates. We also assessed interaction between year and altitude to assess if the altitudinal gradients differed between years (e.g., Moser et al. 2010).

To assess which model(s) were the most appropriate, we used the Akaike's Information Criterion corrected for small

Table 1 \triangle AIC values for the best model(s) fitted to budburst and leafing dates and thermal sums

The best model in terms of AIC is given first (and is defined by $\Delta AIC = 0$), then the best parsimonious model (simpler than the best model and within 2 AIC units of the best model), and finally some simple models given for comparison and assessment of statistical evidence. Alt = Altitude, Lat = Latitude,Long = Longitude,Curv = Curvature, Exp = Exposure. The interaction Altitude-Year is denoted by Alt:Year

Table 2Altitudinal gradients(days/100 m for Date andDegree-Days/100 m) forbudburst and leafing (A), anddifferences between 2006 and2007 (B), estimated using thesame model with the predictorvariables altitude and year

The interaction altitude \times year is given in C. Standard errors in parenthesis, and significant effects at the 0.05 level indicated in bold

	Best model	Best parsimonious model	Model: altitude + Year	Model: altitude	Model: constant			
Budburs	t dates							
Birch	Alt + Year	Alt + Year, 0	0	17.36	43.05			
Hazel	Alt + Year + Exp	Alt + Year + Exp, 0	2.42	14.34	33.51			
Ash	Alt + Year + Alt:Year	Alt + Year + Alt: Year, 0	4.58	14.58	67.15			
Spruce	Alt + Year	Alt, 1.84	0	1.84	15.47			
Larch	Alt + Year + Lat + Alt:Year	Alt + Year + Lat, 0.27	8.96	23.57	44.25			
Leafing dates								
Birch	Alt + Year	Alt + Year, 0	0	18.10	49.73			
Hazel	Alt + Year	Alt + Year, 0	0	12.01	48.29			
Ash	Alt + Year + Curv + Alt:Year	Alt + Year + Curv, 0.99	8.34	34.10	84.86			
Spruce	Alt + Year + Long	Alt + Year, 0.01	0.01	7.04	32.69			
Larch	Alt + Year	Alt + Year, 0	0	22.26	38.74			
Budburs	t thermal sum							
Birch	Alt	Alt, 0	1.73	0	2.21			
Hazel	Year + Exp + Curv	Year + Exp + Curv, 0	6.52	25.44	23.33			
Ash	Alt + Year	Alt, 1.18	0	1.18	9.81			
Spruce	Constant	Constant, 0	2.59	2.02	0			
Larch	Alt	Alt, 0	1.18	0	2.78			
Leafing	thermal sum							
Birch	Alt + Lat	Alt, 1.12	3.39	1.12	9.68			
Hazel	Alt + Year + Curv	Year, 0.88	1.57	18.43	17.02			
Ash	Alt + Curv	Alt + Curv, 0	7.17	5.14	16.05			
Spruce	Lat	Constant, 1.50	3.81	1.40	1.50			
Larch	Constant	Constant, 0	3.78	2.33	0			

	Birch	Hazel	Ash	Spruce	Larch
(a) Altitudinal g	gradients				
Budburst					
Date	2.7 [0.3]	2.9 [0.5]	2.7 [0.3]	2.4 [0.5]	2.7 [0.4]
Degree-Days	7.5 [3.5]	-2.0 [4.6]	11.7 [3.4]	49.9 [37.4]	7.7 [3.6]
Leafing					
Date	3.1 [0.3]	3.4 [0.4]	3.2 [0.3]	3.0 [0.4]	2.4 [0.4]
Degree-Days	12.1 [3.6]	6.6 [5.2]	13.7 [3.7]	11.6 [36.2]	0.6 [4.5]
(b) Year differe	ences				
Budburst					
Date	-10.4 [2.2]	-12.0 [3.1]	-7.3 [2.0]	-7.0 [3.4]	-12.4 [2.8]
Degree-Days	-16.9 [21.6]	136.2 [27.4]	46.2 [25.1]	49.9 [37.4]	-26.1 [- 23.2]
Leafing					
Date	-10.6 [2.2]	-9.5 [2.4]	-12.1 [2.1]	-9.6 [3.0]	-13.0 [2.3]
Degree-Days	-8.1 [22.6]	149.2 [31.6]	-14.0 [27.6]	7.5 [4.9]	-27.0 [26.8]
(c) Interaction a	altitude \times year				
Budburst					
Date	0.07 [0.71]	-0.11 [1.05]	-1.39 [0.53]	0.15 [1.02]	-1.11 [0.85]
Leafing					
Date	-0.01 [0.69]	-0.49 [0.80]	-0.99 [0.56]	0.49 [0.88]	-0.76 [0.77]

sample size (AIC_c) (Burnham and Anderson 2002) and we retained the model(s) with the lowest AIC_c value(s). When the difference between two models was less than 2, we have presented the simplest, most parsimonious model (Burnham and Anderson 2002). We also present results based on a common model including only the additive effect of year and altitude, to provide comparable values among species. We assessed the goodness-of-fit of linear models by using diagnostics based on residuals and influence plots. Constant variance of residuals (Gelman and Hill 2007) was fulfilled for all species. We used the average value of trees measured at a given station to avoid pseudo replication at the station level, and models including altitude and other environmental covariates resulted in residuals with no spatial autocorrelation.

All statistical analyses were performed using the software R (version 2.11; R Development Core Team 2010), distributed under the GNU General Public License.

Results

Daily mean temperatures were much warmer in 2007 than 2006, particularly so at the beginning of the vegetative season (March–April). Differences of monthly mean temperature (estimated from data of all meteorological stations) were +4.5 °C in January (monthly mean temperature = -1.4 °C in 2006/3.1 °C in 2007), +4.7 °C in February (-0.3 °C/4.4 °C), +9.5 °C in March (2.8 °C/12.3 °C), +4.3 °C in April (8.2 °C/12.5 °C) and +3.5 °C in May (12.1 °C/15.6 °C). In comparison, monthly mean temperatures obtained from national meteorological station of Chamonix, estimated between 1987 and 2007, were as follows: -1.9 °C (± 4.1) in January, -0.2 °C (± 4.1) in February, 3.4 °C (± 3.9) in March, 6.6 °C (± 3.6) in April and 11.4 °C (± 3.4) in May.

The ranges of the timing of budburst (including the 2 years and all altitudes) were 26 February–2 May for

Fig. 2 Budburst and leafing dates, budburst and leafing thermal sums for ash (**a**), birch (**b**) and larch (**c**). Altitudinal gradients are shown for the 2 years: 2006 (*grey triangle*) and 2007 (*black circle*). *Lines* superimposed are *regression lines* for the 2 years: 2006 (*solid line*) and 2007 (*dotted line*)





hazel (number of observations n = 52), 12 March–24 May for larch (n = 37), 6 March–1 May for birch (n = 49), 26 March–23 May for ash (n = 62) and 7 April–3 June for spruce (n = 43). Leaf unfolding occurred between 15 March–14 May for hazel (n = 46), 22 March–17 May for birch (n = 46), 14 April–28 May for larch (n = 36), 2 April–13 June for ash (n = 59) and 17 April–11 June for spruce (n = 37).

The best linear models as well as some simple models for each species for the time of budburst and leafing are presented in Table 1. All models included altitude and year. There was strong evidence for different altitudinal gradients in 2006 and 2007 (i.e. interaction year:altitude) only for ash (Table 2; Fig. 2), whereas for larch, the evidence was weak even if the difference was of the same magnitude (Table 2). Altitudinal gradients were rather similar among species and events (budburst: 2.4–2.9 days/ 100 m; leafing: 2.4–3.4 days/100 m). Differences between 2006 and 2007 were also consistent between events and species, being consistently larger for larch than for spruce but more variable among deciduous trees (Table 2). We found evidence for an effect of geographic and topographic variables only on some species and not for the same variables (b[] = regression coefficient; hazel, budburst date, b[exposure] = 10.5 (se = 4.9); ash, leafing date: b[curvature] = -0.2 (0.1); larch, budburst date, b[latitude] = 0.1 (0.02) days/km).

The best linear models as well as some simple models for each species for thermal sum of budburst and leafing are presented in Table 1. We had evidence that altitude influenced budburst thermal sum positively for three species (ash, birch and larch, Tables 1, 2; Fig. 2), whereas altitude positively influenced leafing thermal sum only for birch and ash. As for timing of events, we found evidence for an effect of geographic and topographic variables only on some species and not for the same variables (b[] = regression coefficient; hazel, budburst thermal sum, b[exposure] = 88.6(41.1),b[curvature] = 1.6 (0.8); ash, leafing thermal sum, b[curvature] = -2.6 (0.9)).

Fig. 2 continued



Discussion

The range of budburst and leafing dates in this study was roughly 2 months. This is equivalent to a 2,000 km distance at these latitude and longitude (Menzel et al. 2005). In mid-European regions, temperature is the main determinant of spring phenology (Chmielewski and Rötzer 2001, 2002; Menzel et al. 2008) and the addition of other climatic parameters such as air humidity, precipitation, radiation or depth of snow pack, or non-climatic parameters such as soil moisture or nutrient level may not significantly improve the models (Menzel 1997; Studer et al. 2005). As expected, we found that altitude was a main predictor variable of spatial variation of phenological phases and that budburst thermal sum was also significantly positively influenced by altitude for 3 out of 5 species (2 out of 5 for leafing). Altitudinal gradients were similar in both years, even if the 2 years studied were extremely contrasted in terms of mean temperatures. Several topographic parameters contributed to the timing of these phenophases, but the effects were relatively small and inconsistent among species. Therefore, our results emphasize similar altitudinal gradients for dates of events for the 5 species studied but different altitudinal gradients for thermal sums.

The altitudinal gradients of budburst and leafing dates were similar to recent studies of mountainous areas: 2.4–3.4 days/100 m (our study) compared to Richardson et al. (2006) 2.7 days/100 m, Vitasse et al. (2009a) 1.1–3.4 days/100 m, Ziello et al. (2009) 0.9–4.5 days/100 m, Migliavacca et al. (2008) 3.7 days/100 m (larch), Moser et al. (2010) 3.6–4.3 days/100 m (larch and spruce). Ziello et al. (2009) compared these values to what is expected from a temperature gradient of 0.6 °C/100 m and a temperature response of 1–5 days/ °C (Menzel et al. 2006), resulting in an altitudinal gradient of 0.6–3 days/100 m, whereas the Hopkin's law states that a value of 3.3 days/100 m is expected (e.g., Vitasse et al. 2009a). Our values are clearly in the high range, which is most likely due to a higher response of phenology to temperature since

temperature gradients are rather homogeneous. One species (ash) showed a change in the altitudinal gradient between years, the warmer year in 2007 being characterized by a weaker gradient (2006: 3.6 days/100 m vs. 2007: 2.2 days/100 m), whereas for larch, the change was similar but more uncertain. For both species, the difference between years resulted in a more advanced phenology in the warm year at high altitude. It is clearly difficult to conclude from a 2-year study whether altitude can influence phenological responses to temperature. The studies by Ziello et al. (2009) and Studer et al. (2005) did not find consistent response in one species, whereas others did not response clearly.

Thermal sums increased with altitude for birch, ash and larch (budburst) and birch and ash (leafing). This is the inverse of what would be expected from chilling effects (Hunter and Lechowicz 1992), since chilling should be larger at high altitudes. Both snow and frost can explain such a delay. Snow accumulation is larger at high altitude, resulting in a delay in soil temperature at high altitudes. This delay could explain the increase in thermal sum if phenological phases, especially the early ones (budburst) are influenced more by soil than air temperatures. The phenomenon is known for alpine plants which can start their development only when the snow has melted away (e.g., Totland and Alatalo 2002; Wipf 2010) but to our knowledge has not been thoroughly studied for trees. Another possible explanation is an increase in frost damage with altitude (Inouve 2008), but we are not aware of any direct measurements of frost damage on trees in alpine areas. Birch and larch are pioneer species and are expected to be more sensitive to temperature and chilling effects than late successional species such as spruce (Körner and Basler 2010). The position of ash on the pioneer-late successional gradient is less clear as it is both a colonizing species of mountain landscapes following agriculture abandonment (Gibon et al. 2010) but is also shade tolerant and is found in old forests (Diekmann et al. 1999). Assuming ash is also a pioneer species in alpine landscapes, it seems that pioneer species differ in their response of thermal sums to altitude.

Our results have implications for the further development of phenological models and field measurements. If the increase in thermal sum with altitude is due to the delaying effects of snow cover and snowmelt, expected climatic changes will result in larger phenological changes at high altitude than at low altitude because we expect both higher temperatures and reduced snow cover at high altitudes (at low altitudes, snow does not affect phenology). Further, monitoring of phenological phases in alpine areas should include detailed measurements of snow cover and soil temperatures in order to disentangle these effects and models tailored to high altitude (or latitude) areas need to be developed.

Acknowledgments We thank Anne Loison for useful comments on the analyses and Jennifer Stien for reading the last draft. We are grateful to all the volunteers for help to collecting data on the study sites. This work is part of the project "Phénoclim" supported by the Rhône-Alpes and PACA Regions, the Europe (FEDER), the Foundations "Nicolas Hulot pour la Nature et l'Homme", "Somfy", "Véolia Environment", "Petzl" and "Nature et Découvertes", the EOG Association for Conservation, the Forsitec company, the British Ecological Society, the Patagonia company, and the "Crédit Agricole des Savoies" bank. We also thank the CNRS research group 2968 "Système d'Information Phénologique pour la Gestion et l'Etude des Changements Climatiques", the National Parks of "Les Ecrins" and "Vanoise", the Regional Natural Parks of "Les Bauges", "Queyras" and "Vercors", the Natural Reserves of "Hauts-Plateaux du Vercors" and "Marais de Lavours", and the Alpine Botanic Gardens of "Lautaret" and "Champex", for their support.

References

- ArcGIS (2004) ESRI GIS and mapping software. Version 8.3.0
- Beniston M (2006) Mountain weather and climate: a general overview and a focus on climatic change in the Alps. Hydrobiologia 562:3–16
- Bliss LC (1971) Arctic and alpine plant life cycles. Ann Rev Ecol Syst 2:405–438
- Burnham KP, Anderson DR (2002) Model selection and multimodel inference. A practical information-theoretic approach, 2nd edn. Springer, New York
- Campanella MV, Bertiller MB (2008) Plant phenology, leaf traits and leaf litterfall of contrasting life forms in the arid Patagonian Monte, Argentina. J Veg Sci 19:75–85
- Cannel MGR, Smith RI (1986) Climatic warming, spring budburst and frost damage on trees. J Appl Ecol 23:177–191
- Castro-Diez P, Montserrat-Marti G, Cornelissen JHC (2003) Tradeoffs between phenology, relative growth rate, life form and seed mass among 22 Mediterranean woody species. Plant Ecol 166: 117–129
- Chen X, Hu B, Yu R (2005) Spatial and temporal variation of phenological growing season and climate change impacts in temperate eastern China. Glob Change Biol 11:1–13
- Chmielewski FM, Rötzer T (2001) Response of tree phenology to climate change across Europe. Agric For Meteorol 108:101–112
- Chmielewski FM, Rötzer T (2002) Annual and spatial variability of the beginning of growing season in Europe in relation to air temperature changes. Clim Res 19:257–264
- Chmielewski FM, Müller A, Bruns E (2004) Climate changes and trends in phenology of fruit trees and field crops in Germany, 1961–2000. Agric For Meteorol 121:69–78
- Cleland EE, Chuine I, Menzel A, Mooney HA, Schwartz MD (2007) Shifting plant phenology in response to global change. Trends Ecol Evol 22:357–365
- Defila C (1991) Pflanzenphänologie der Schweiz. Dissertation, University of Zürich. Veröff Schweiz Meteorol Anst 50: 1–235
- Defila C, Clot B (2001) Phytophenological trends in Switzerland. Int J Biometeorol 45:203–207
- Defila C, Clot B (2005) Phytophenological trends in the Swiss Alps, 1951–2002. Meteorol Z 14:191–196
- Diekmann M, Eilertsen O, Fremstad E, Lawesson JE, Aude E (1999) Beech forest communities in the Nordic countries—a multivariate analysis. Plant Ecol 140:203–220

- Dittmar C, Elling W (1999) Jahrringbreite von Fichte und Buche in Abhängigkeit von Witterung und Höhenlage. Forstwiss Centralbl 118:251–270
- Dittmar C, Elling W (2006) Phenological phases of common beech (*Fagus sylvatica* L.) and their dependence on region and altitude in Southern Germany. Eur J For Res 125:181–188
- Fitter AH, Fitter RSR, Harris ITB, Williamson MH (1995) Relationships between first flowering date and temperature in the flora of a locality in central England. Funct Ecol 9:55–60
- Gelman A, Hill J (2007) Data analysis using regression and multilevel/hierarchical models. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge
- Gibon A, Sheeren D, Monteil C, Ladet S, Balent G (2010) Modelling and simulating change in reforesting mountain landscapes using a social-ecological framework. Landsc Ecol 25:267–285
- Gormsen AK, Hense A, Toldam-Andersen TB, Braun P (2005) Largescale climate variability and its effects on mean temperature and flowering time of *Prunus* and *Betula* in Denmark. Theor Appl Climatol 82:41–50
- Hannerz M (1999) Evaluation of temperature models for predicting bud burst in Norway spruce. Can J For Res 29:1–11
- Huelber K, Gottfried M, Pauli H, Reiter K, Winkler M, Grabherr G (2006) Phenological responses of snowbed Species to snow removal dates in the Central Alps: implications for climate warming. Arctic Antarct Alp Res 38:99–103
- Hülber K, Winkler M, Grabherr G (2010) Intraseasonal climate and habitat-specific variability controls the flowering phenology of high alpine plant species. Funct Ecol 24:245–252
- Hunter AF, Lechowicz MJ (1992) Predicting the timing of budburst in temperate trees. J Appl Ecol 29:597–604
- Inouye DW (2008) Effects of climate change on phenology, frost damage, and floral abundance of montane wildflowers. Ecology 89:353–362
- Inouye DW, Saavedra F, Lee-Yang W (2003) Environmental influences on the phenology and abundance of flowering by *Androsace septentrionalis* (Primulaceae). Am J Bot 90:905–910
- Jackson MT (1966) Effects of microclimate on spring flowering phenology. Ecology 47:407–415
- Keller F, Goyette S, Beniston M (2005) Sensitivity analysis of snowcover to climate change scenarios and their impact on plant habitats in alpine terrain. Clim Change 72:299–319
- Körner C (1999) Alpine plant life. Functional plant ecology of high mountain ecosystems. Springer, Berlin
- Körner C, Basler D (2010) Phenology under global warming. Science 327:1461–1462
- Kramer K (1995) Phenotypic plasticity of the phenology of seven European tree species in relation to climate warming. Plant Cell Environ 18:93–104
- Menzel A (1997) Phänologie von Waldbäumen unter sich ändernden Klimabedingungen. Forstl. Forschungsberic (München) 164
- Menzel A (2003) Plant phenological anomalies in Germany and their relation to air temperature and NAO. Clim Change 57:243–263
- Menzel A, Jakobi G, Ahas R, Scheifinger H, Estrella N (2003) Variations of the climatological growing season (1951–2000) in Germany compared with other countries. Int J Climatol 23: 793–812
- Menzel A, Sparks TH, Estrella N, Eckhardt S (2005) 'SSW to NNE'—North Atlantic oscillation affects the progress of seasons across Europe. Glob Change Biol 11:909–918
- Menzel A, Sparks TH, Estrella N et al (2006) European phenological response to climate change matches the warming pattern. Glob Change Biol 12:1969–1976
- Menzel A, Estrella N, Schleip C (2008) Impacts of climate variability, trends and NAO on 20th century European plant phenology. In: Brönnimann S, Luterbacher J, Ewen T, Diaz HF, Stolarski R, Neu U (eds) Climate variability and extremes during the past

100 years, vol advances in global change research, vol 33. Springer, Berlin, pp 221–233

- Migliavacca M, Cremonese E, Colombo R et al (2008) European larch phenology in the Alps: can we grasp the role of ecological factors by combining field observations and inverse modelling? Int J Biometeorol 52:587–605
- Miller-Rushing AJ, Primack RB (2008) Global warming and flowering times in Thoreau's concord: a community perspective. Ecology 89:332–341
- Moser L, Fonti P, Büntgen U et al (2010) Timing and duration of European larch growing season along altitudinal gradients in the Swiss Alps. Tree Physiol 30:225–233
- Myking T (1997) Effects of constant and fluctuating temperature on time to budburst in *Betula pubescens* and its relation to bud respiration. Trees 12:107–112
- Nordli O, Wielgolaski FE, Bakken AK et al (2008) Regional trends for bud burst and flowering of woody plants in Norway as related to climate change. Int J Biometeorol 52:625–639
- Parmesan C (2006) Ecological and evolutionary responses to recent climate change. Annu Rev Ecol Evol S 37:637–669
- Richardson AD, Bailey AS, Denny EG, Martin CW, O'Keefe J (2006) Phenology of a northern hardwood forest canopy. Glob Change Biol 12:1174–1188
- Rötzer T, Grote R, Pretzsch H (2004) The timing of bud burst and its effect on tree growth. Int J Biometeorol 48:109–118
- Scheifinger H, Menzel A, Koch E, Peter C, Ahas R (2002) Atmospheric mechanisms governing the spatial and temporal variability of phenological phases in Central Europe. Int J Climatol 22:1739–1755
- Sparks TH, Jeffree EP, Jeffree CE (2000) An examination of the relationship between flowering times and temperature at the national scale using long-term phenological records from the UK. Int J Biometeorol 44:82–87
- Studer S, Appenzeller C, Defila C (2005) Inter-annual variability and decadal trends in alpine spring phenology: a multivariate analysis approach. Clim Change 73:395–414
- R Development Core Team (2010) R: a language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna. ISBN 3-900051-07-0, URL http://www.R-project.org
- Theurillat JP, Schlüssel A (2000) Phenology and distribution strategy of key plant species within the subalpine-alpine ecocline in the Valaisan Alps (Switzerland). Phytocoenologia 30:439–456
- Totland Ø, Alatalo JM (2002) Effects of temperature and date of snowmelt on growth, reproduction, and flowering phenology in the arctic/alpine herb, *Ranunculus glacialis*. Oecologia 133: 168–175
- Vitasse Y, Delzona S, Dufrêne E et al (2009a) Leaf phenology sensitivity to temperature in European trees: do within-species populations exhibit similar responses? Agric For Meteorol 149: 735–744
- Vitasse Y, Porte AJ, Kremer A, Michalet R, Delzon S (2009b) Responses of canopy duration to temperature changes in four temperate tree species: relative contributions of spring and autumn leaf phenology. Oecologia 161:187–198
- Wielgolaski FE (1999) Starting dates and basic temperatures in phenological observations of plants. Int J Biometeorol 42: 158–168
- Wipf S (2010) Phenology, growth, and fecundity of eight subarctic tundra species in response to snowmelt manipulations. Plant Ecol 207:53–66
- Wood SN (2006) Generalized additive models: an introduction with R. Taylor & Francis, CRC Press, London
- Ziello C, Estrella N, Kostova M, Koch E, Menzel A (2009) Influence of altitude on phenology of selected plant species in the Alpine region (1971–2000). Clim Res 39:227–234